

statute of limitations

Certainly! In the context of statute of limitations, the case *Branciforte Heights, LLC v. City of Santa Cruz* (2006) 138 Cal.App.4th 914, 926 provides relevant guidance.

The *Branciforte Heights* case establishes that the statute of limitations applicable to a legal action depends on the nature of the obligation or claim being pursued. Different types of claims have different time limits within which they must be filed.

In your petition, you're asserting a writ of mandate under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1085. This means you're seeking a court order to compel the California Fish and Game Commission to perform a certain duty, such as re-evaluating the classification of domestic ferrets.

Given that your petition falls within the category of writ of mandate actions, it's essential to determine the appropriate statute of limitations for such claims. This determination ensures that your petition was filed within the allowable timeframe specified by law.

By referencing the *Branciforte Heights* case, you're indicating to the court that you've considered the nature of your claim and the applicable statute of limitations. This demonstrates your adherence to legal requirements and strengthens your argument that your petition was timely filed within the allowable period.

Overall, by citing *Branciforte Heights, LLC v. City of Santa Cruz*, you're providing the court with a relevant legal precedent that supports your assertion that your petition was filed within the appropriate statute of limitations for a writ of mandate action.